

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nsyolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,886	11/16/2001	Kazuki Matsui	121.1027	7581
2117 7590 02242099 STAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			SORKOWITZ, DANIEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/987.886 MATSULET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIEL M. SORKOWITZ 3622 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/16/01. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

SI Other

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/987,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

Notice to Applicant

This communication is in response to the application 11/428192 filed on 11/16/2001.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 13-18, drawn to system for distributing advertising, classified in class 715. subclass 14.
 - Claims 25-28, drawn to an apparatus for a user terminal to receive advertising, classified in class 705, subclass 14.
 - III. Claims 1-3 and 19-22, drawn to a method and an apparatus for requesting information from an information provider, classified in class 715, subclass 205.
 - IV. Claims 4-8, drawn to a method and systems apparatus for requesting services from a service provider, classified in class 719, subclass 310.
 - V. Claims 9-12, 23-24, and 31, drawn to a system, memory medium, and server for transmitting information to a user, classified in class 709, subclass 231.
 - Claims 29-30, drawn to an apparatus for a server to distribute advertising to a user. classified in class 705 subclass 14.

- Inventions I and II are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a
 single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in
 scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one
 subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has
 separate utility such as a user terminal to receive advertising. See MPEP
 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions I and III are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as requesting information from an information provider. See MPEP 806.05(d)
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as requesting services from a service provider. See MPEP 806.05(d).

- 5. Inventions I and V are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as a server for transmitting information to a user. See MPEP 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions I and VI are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as. See MPEP 806.05(d). a server to distribute advertising to a user
- 7. Inventions II and III are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as delivering advertising based on personal travel. See MPEP 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/987,886

Art Unit: 3622

8. Inventions II and IV are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as delivering passenger group advertising. See MPEP 806.05(d).

Page 5

- 9. Inventions II and V are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as a server for transmitting information to a user. See MPEP 806.05(d).
- 10. Inventions II and VI are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as. See MPEP 806.05(d). a server to distribute advertising to a user

Application/Control Number: 09/987,886

Art Unit: 3622

Inventions III and IV are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in

a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in

Page 6

scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one

subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has

separate utility such as delivering passenger group advertising. See MPEP

806.05(d).

12. Inventions III and V are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in

a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in

scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one

subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has

separate utility such as a server for transmitting information to a user. See

MPEP 806.05(d).

13. Inventions III and VI are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in

a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in

scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one

subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has

separate utility such as a server to distribute advertising to a user. See MPEP

806.05(d).

- 14. Inventions IV and V are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as a server for transmitting information to a user. See MPEP 806.05(d).
- 15. Inventions IV and VI are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as a server to distribute advertising to a user. See MPEP 806.05(d).
- 16. Inventions V and VI are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as a server to distribute advertising to a user. See MPEP 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR

1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:

Application/Control Number: 09/987,886

Art Unit: 3622

the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries):

the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention:

the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL M. SORKOWITZ whose telephone number is (571)270-5206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric W. Stamber can be reached on 571.272.6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information Application/Control Number: 09/987,886 Page 11

Art Unit: 3622

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D.M.S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3622

/Michael Bekerman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3622